

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uppo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/462,961	04/10/2000	JOHANNES KNOBLICH	GK-ZEI-3075	GK-ZEI-3075 5712	
26418	7590 08/01/2003	•			
REED SMI	-	EXAMINER			
599 LEXINO	ENT RECORDS DEPA STON AVENUE, 29TH	ROBINSON, MARK A			
NEW YORK	C, NY 10022-7650	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2872		
			DATE MAILED: 08/01/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		<b>-</b>		De			
Advisory Action		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/462,961	KNOBLICH, JOHANNES				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
••		Mark A. Robinson	2872				
-7	he MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
Therefore, final rejection condition fo	FILED 15 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH outher action by the applicant is required to a on under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ( r allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe n (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and the supplication and the supplication are supplied the supplication and the supplication are supplied to the supplied t	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in			
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
b) The eve ON 706 Extension have been filed 37 CFR 1.17(a (b) above, if ch	e period for reply expires 4_months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adnt, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the LY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS .07(f).  Is of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date is the date for purposes of determining the period of extern it is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortenese calculated. Any reply received by the Office later than three meerm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION.  136(a) and the appropriate exemples. The appropriate exemples the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A No. 37 C	tice of Appeal was filed on Appellant FR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	's Brief must be filed within the pFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) 🗌 1	hey raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
	hey are not deemed to place the application ssues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the			
	they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.			
3.☐ Appl	cant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):					
4. Newl	y proposed or amended claim(s) would eling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
	a) $\square$ affidavit, b) $\square$ exhibit, or c) $\boxtimes$ request for allowance because: $\underline{s}$		sidered but does No	OT place the			
_	affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be od by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7.☐ For p	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The	status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	::					
Clai	m(s) allowed:						
Clai	m(s) objected to:						
Clai	m(s) rejected: <u>25,26,28-32,36 and 40-46</u> .						
Clai	m(s) withdrawn from consideration: 21-24,33-	<u>35 and 37-39</u> .					
8 The	proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exar	miner.			
9. Note	the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10. Oth	er:		MULLI — MARIK A. ROBINSO	DN .			

**PRIMARY EXAMINER** 

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the final rejection is still deemed to be valid. In response to applicant's remarks, it should be noted that the principles of dark-field illumination are not in question. The rejections are based upon what is claimed, and the references are seen to include structural features which meet those set forth in the claims Concerning the feature in question, the claims merely require illumination at an angle to the optical axis, and this feature is shown by Siersch. Accordingly, the claims as presently recited are not seen to patentably distinguish the present invention over the prior art.